# FITCHBURG GAS AND ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY

# Electric Reconciliation Mechanism and Inflation Adjustment Filing

D.T.E. 03-115

# TESTIMONY AND SCHEDULES OF ROBYN A. TAFOYA

ON BEHALF OF FITCHBURG GAS AND ELECTRIC LIGHT COMPANY

Presented to the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy

November 26, 2003

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND	2
III. (	COMPLIANCE WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S DIRECTIVES	3
IV.	TRANSITION CHARGE	6
A	. Obligation to Mitigate Stranded Cost	10
В	. The Transition Charge Fixed Component	11
C	. The Transition Charge Variable Component	12
D	P. Residual Value Credit	14
V.	CONCLUSION	15

1	I.	INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Robyn A. Tafoya. My business address is 6 Liberty Lane West,
4		Hampton, New Hampshire, 03842-1720.
5		
6	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your titile and job responsibilities?
7	A.	I am the Director of Finance for Unitil Service Corporation ("USC"), a subsidiary
8		of Unitil Corporation ("Unitil"). USC provides centralized professional and
9		administrative services to Unitil's subsidiaries, including Fitchburg Gas and
10		Electric Light Company ("FG&E") and its New Hampshire utility affiliate Unitil
11		Energy Systems, Inc. My responsibilities are primarily in the areas of finance and
12		treasury services.
13		
14	Q.	Please briefly describe your business and educational experience.
15	A.	I have worked in the utility industry for over 20 years, principally in the areas of
16		finance, strategy and regulation. From 1986 through 1999, I worked for Nevada
17		Power Company in Las Vegas, Nevada, in various Director- and Manager-level
18		positions relating to industry restructuring, finance, capital and operating
19		budgeting, investor relations and financial analysis. Prior to 1986, I worked for
20		Pacific Power & Light Company in Portland, Oregon as an analyst focusing on
21		utility revenue requirement analysis. I began working for Unitil in 2002 as a

1		regulatory consultant, and was hired as Director of Finance in January 2003. I
2		hold an MBA from the University of California at Los Angeles and a Bachelor of
3		Arts degree in Economics from Pacific Lutheran University in Tacoma,
4		Washington. See Appendix A to this testimony.
5		
6	Q.	Have you previously submitted testimony before the Department of
7		Telecommunications and Energy ("the Department") or other regulatory agencies?
8	A.	Yes, I have submitted testimony before the Public Utilities Commission of
9		Nevada concerning financing issues and long-term financial forecasts related to
10		Nevada Power Company's resource plans. I have not, however, previously
11		submitted testimony before the Department.
12		
13	II.	PURPOSE AND BACKGROUND
14	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
15	A.	I will present and explain FG&E's reconciliation model and supporting
16		calculations used to reconcile the costs and actual revenues for the Transition
17		Charge for 2003. I will also explain the model and supporting calculations used
18		to forecast the costs and revenues for the period October 1, 2003 through
19		December 31, 2004, and how this model complies with FG&E's Restructuring
20		Plan, the D.T.E. 01-103 Settlement and the previous reconciliation filing, D.T.E.
21		02-84.

1		
2	Q.	What Schedules do you present as part of your testimony?
3	A.	I will present the following schedules:
4		Schedule RT-1 – Annual Transition Charge Model (Standard Format)
5		Schedule RT-2 – Calculation of Above Market Payments to Power Suppliers
6		Schedule RT-3 – Monthly Transition Cost Reconciliation
7		
8	III.	COMPLIANCE WITH THE DEPARTMENT'S DIRECTIVES
9	Q.	Have you included all your workpapers in your Schedules? <sup>1</sup>
10	A.	Yes, my schedules constitute FG&E's workpapers. For instance, the Monthly
11		Transition Cost Reconciliation (Schedule RT-3), while a schedule of import, is
12		also considered one of FG&E's workpapers.
13		
14	Q.	Do these schedules match the schedules filed in D.T.E. 02-84, FG&E's December
15		20, 2002 Electric Reconciliation Mechanism and Inflation Adjustment Filing?
16	A.	Yes, with the following changes and updates:
17		• Schedule RT-1 has been updated for actual information through
18		September 30, 2003. Page 1 of Schedule RT-1 has been corrected to show
19		the 2000 and 2001 kWh sales to reflect actual sales to all customers
20		subject to transition charges, and to update the kWh sales forecast for
	ı filing.	The Department requires that workpapers be filed as part of a company's annual reconciliation

2003-2014 (col.1), the transition charge forecast (col.2), and changes to 1 2 the forecast of the Variable Component of the Transition Charge summarized on RT-1, page 3, as discussed below. Finally, Schedule RT-3 4 1, page 1, updates the resulting projections of future deferrals, interest and 5 recoveries. There is no change in the Transition Charge Fixed Component calculation, i.e. Schedule RT-2, page 2, including the Residual Value 6 7 Credit, from that stipulated to by the parties in the D.T.E. 01-103 Settlement as approved by the Department. 8 9 Schedule RT-1, page 3, summarizes the Variable Component forecast and 10 11 includes the changes shown on Schedule RT-1, pages 4, 15 and 17, all of 12 which have been updated for actual information through September 30, 2003 and other changes as described below. 13 14 15 Schedule RT-1, page 4, was updated for a more up-to-date forecast of the 16 PTF Credit and to include additional 2003 costs of \$2,589 associated with the Wyman IV Settlement. 17 18 19 Schedule RT-1, page 15, was updated for the above market payments 20 forecast related to the Hydro Quebec and Linweave contracts based on the 21 most currently available information. In particular, FG&E had the

1 opportunity to mitigate expenses by selling capacity associated with its 2 firm transmission rights on the Hydro Quebec tie lines. FG&E estimates 3 that \$31,529 and \$37,606 will flow to ratepayers in 2003 and 2004, 4 respectively, from the revenues received against this commitment. 5 mitigating a cost otherwise borne as part of Transition Costs. 6 7 Schedule RT-1, page 17, was updated to include charges for jointly-owned transmission in support of generation. These stranded costs associated 8 with generation were initially, and incorrectly, billed to Select Energy. However, the Select contract does not permit these costs to be included in 10 11 the billing. These costs are appropriate for recovery through the Transition 12 Charge. The charges amount to \$2,244 annually. 13 14 Q. Do these schedules comply with all other aspects of the Department's Orders 15 issued in FG&E's previous reconciliation filings? 16 A. Yes, Schedules RT-1 through RT-3 comply with previous Department directives 17 from D.T.E. 01-103 and D.T.E. 99-110 pertaining to the calculation and reconciliation of FG&E's Transition Charge. In D.T.E. 01-103, FG&E settled 18 19 with the Attorney General all outstanding issues related to, among other things, 20 the calculation and reconciliation of the Transition Charge for the period March 1998 through September 2001. As of November 26, 2003, FG&E had not 21

1		received a final order from the Department in D.T.E. 02-84. A limited number of
2		issues were raised by the parties during this investigation, none of which would
3		impact the costs included in the Transition Charge as proposed in this filing.
4		
5	IV.	TRANSITION CHARGE
6	Q.	Please explain what the Transition Charge is and how it is calculated.
7	A.	FG&E's Transition Charge calculates FG&E's stranded costs and provides a
8		mechanism for recovery of these costs from customers. FG&E's approved
9		Restructuring Plan provides a detailed narrative description of the calculation of
10		transition costs, appropriate adjustments to these costs and supporting schedules
11		that compute the projected recovery of the costs. See Appendix B. <sup>2</sup>
12		
13	Q.	What are the components of FG&E's Transition Charge?
14	A.	According to the methodology approved by the Department for FG&E, which is
15		similar and in many respects identical to the methodology used by the
16		majority of electric distribution companies across the state, the Transition Charge
17		is comprised of a Fixed Component and a Variable Component.
18		
19	Q.	Do FG&E's Transition Charge reconciliation models follow the required
20		standardized format?

FG&E's Restructuring Plan was subsequently modified by the Department's Order in D.T.E. 97-115/98-120 approving the Restructuring Plan, and more recently in D.T.E. 99-110 and D.T.E. 01-103, but the basic underpinnings of the calculation of the Transition Charge remain unchanged

1	A.	Yes. FG&E is required by D.T.E. 99-110 to provide the standard format
2		schedules found in Schedule RT-1. Schedule RT-1 reflects the annual
3		reconciliation of FG&E's Transition Charge using the costs and revenues for the
4		period through September 30, 2003, and a forecast of costs and revenues
5		thereafter, including the year 2003, as required by D.T.E. 99-110 and in
6		accordance with the methodology and calculations agreed to in the D.T.E. 01-103
7		Settlement.
8		
9	Q.	Please match the costs that have been included in the Transition Charge
10		mechanism with the associated sections of FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan
11		and the Department's orders concerning this Plan, including the Order in D.T.E.
12		99-110 and the Order approving the Settlement Agreement in D.T.E. 01-103, and
13		provide a reference to its location in your schedules.
14	A.	This information is provided in Table RT-1 below:

1

	TABLE RI	Γ- <b>1</b>
Line#	Costs from November 26, 2003 Reconciliation Filing (SCHEDULE RT-1 AND SCHEDULE RT-3)	Correlation to Restructuring Plan or subsequent filings or orders
	TRANSITION CHARGE FI	XED COMPONENT
1.	Amortization of Fixed Costs	<ul> <li>See FG&amp;E's approved Restructuring Plan, Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 1, 1.1.1 for a description of the components of the amortization.</li> <li>Modifications pursuant to Order D.T.E. 99- 110 and Settlement in D.T.E 01-103</li> <li>See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 5 and 6</li> </ul>
2	Carrying Charges on Fixed Costs	<ul> <li>See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 5 and 6.</li> <li>See FG&amp;E's approved Restructuring Plan, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 2, 1.1.2. for a description of the components of the carrying charges.</li> <li>Modifications pursuant to Order D.T.E. 99- 110 and Settlement in D.T.E 01-103.</li> <li>See SCHEDULE RT-1, pages 5 and 6.</li> </ul>
4.	Residual Value Credit	<ul> <li>See FG&amp;E's approved Restructuring Plan, Appendix A, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 3, 1.1.3 (b).</li> <li>Modifications pursuant to Order D.T.E. 99-110 and Settlement in D.T.E 01-103.</li> <li>Includes "second" RVC for Sale of Millstone 3 Ownership Interest, D.T.E. 00-68 (2001).</li> <li>See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 7 through 10.</li> </ul>
	TRANSITION CHARGE VARI	ABLE COMPONENT
8.	Above Market Payments to Power Suppliers	<ul> <li>See FG&amp;E's approved Restructuring Plan, Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 6, item (b) which provides for recovery of Above Market Payments to Power Suppliers, including payments by FG&amp;E under Long-Term Power Supply Contracts, less the market value, in FG&amp;E's variable costs.</li> <li>Modifications pursuant to Order D.T.E. 99-110</li> <li>See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 3, and supporting workpaper SCHEDULE RT-2.</li> </ul>

9.	NHH HI- and LO-VOLT (NEPOOL)	*	Coo EC 9-E's ammound Destructuris - Di-
19. 10.	` ,	**	See FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
l .	WYMAN 4 HI- and LO-VOLT (CMP)		Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 7, item
11.	MILL 3 HI- AND LO-VOLT (NEPOOL)		(g) which provides for recovery of
	(Transmission Wheeling Support Payments)		transmission wheeling support costs in
			Variable Component of Transition Charge.
		*	See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 17.
12.	NEP PTF Credit	*	In FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
			FG&E moved the PTF Credit to the
			Transition Charge in compliance with
			Department Order D.T.E./D.P.U. 97-115/98-
			120 at p. 48 (Jan. 15, 1999).
		*	See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 4.
13.	Post-shutdown Nuclear Decommissioning	*	See FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
			Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 5, 1.2.3
			(a) that provides for recovery of these costs
			in FG&E's variable cost recovery
			component.
		*	See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 14.
			1, page 1
14.	Power Contract Buyouts - Select Retained	*	See FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
	Entitlement Obligation		Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 6, item
			(c) that provides for recovery of these costs
			as part of the variable cost component.
		*	See SCHEDULE RT-1, page 11.
15.	Employee severance and retraining	*	See FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
		•	Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 6, item
•			(e) which provides for recovery of these costs
		1	as a part of the variable cost component.
		*	No cost recovery requested
16.	Claims by or against third parties	*	See FG&E's approved Restructuring Plan,
10.	Claims by or against unite parties	🔻	
			Appendix B, Tab E, Exhibit 1, Page 7, item
			(f) Provides for recovery of these costs as
			part of the variable cost component.
17	Ott. A I'	*	No cost recovery requested
17.	Other Adjustments	*	See RT-1, page 4.

2

1

- 3 Q. What is the proposed Transition Charge for 2004?
- 4 A. FG&E proposes to set the Uniform Transition Charge at \$0.00982 per kWh. The
- 5 calculation of this charge is fully explained in the Testimony of Douglas J. Debski
- 6 (Exh. FGE –DJD-1).

7

1		A. Obligation To Mitigate Stranded Cost
2	Q.	Has FG&E taken every reasonable step to mitigate its Transition Charge as it
3		relates to purchase power expenses and to owned generation?
4	A.	Yes it has.
5		
6	Q.	Has FG&E divested its generation?
7	A.	Yes. FG&E divested of its long-term purchase power entitlements and its
8		economic interest in the Wyman 4 fossil fuel generating station through the sale of
9		these entitlements to Select Energy. See D.T.E 99-58 (2000). FG&E sold its
10		entire ownership interest in New Haven Harbor fossil fuel electric generating
11		station. See D.T.E. 98-121 (1999). FG&E also sold its ownership interest in the
12		Millstone 3 Nuclear generating station. See D.T.E. 00-68 (2001).
13		
14	Q.	Did the sale of FG&E's ownership interest in Millstone 3 confer additional
15		benefits upon FG&E's customers aside from a reduction to the Transition Charge?
16	A.	Yes, it did. FG&E's customers are no longer obligated to make decommissioning
17		payments and have been released from all contingent liabilities and obligations as
18		a result of this sale.
19		
20	Q.	Have the divestiture activities undertaken by FG&E been consolidated into a
21		single publicly available reference?

1	A.	Yes. A summary of FG&E's mitigation activities, along with copies of each
2		relevant Department order, is contained in Report on the Activities of Fitchburg
3		Gas and Electric Light Company to Mitigate Transition Costs, filed with the
4		Department as part of D.T.E. 00-66 (Jan. 19, 2001).
5		
6		B. The Transition Charge Fixed Component
7	Q.	What is the Transition Charge Fixed Component and what is it intended to
8		recover?
9	A.	The Transition Charge Fixed Component is the portion of Transition Cost
10		recovery assigned to stranded costs associated with generation assets and
11		generation-related regulatory assets. Therefore, this component recovers the
12		remaining net book value of generation plant balances and generation-related
13		regulatory assets that were unrecovered or "stranded" as a result of restructuring.
14		
15	Q.	What period are the fixed costs recovered over?
16	A.	These costs are amortized over a 12-year period commencing on March 1, 1998,
17		and continuing through December 31, 2009, with carrying charges adjusted for
18		taxes.
19		
20	Q.	Have you calculated the Transition Charge Fixed Component?

1	A.	Yes. A summary of the calculation is provided Schedule RT-1, page 2. The
2		actual calculation of the Transition Charge Fixed Component of FG&E's
3		Transition Charge is performed in Schedule RT-1, pages 5 and 6, for Owned
4		Generation and Generation-Related Regulatory Assets, respectively. This
5		calculation is further supported by several of the standard format Annual
6		Transition Charge schedules provided in Schedule RT-1, as shown on pages 12,
7		13, 18 and 19.
8		
9	Q.	Is the calculation of the Transition Charge Fixed Component in accord with the
10		D.T.E. 01-103 Settlement?
11	A.	Yes. All agreed-upon changes resulting from the Settlement are reflected in this
12		filing and are incorporated in the Annual Transition Charge calculation (Schedule
13		RT-1) and the Monthly Transition Cost Reconciliation (Schedule
14		RT-3). That is, there is no change in the Transition Charge Fixed Component
15		calculation from that stipulated to by the parties in the D.T.E. 01-103 Settlement
16		as approved by the Department. See Schedule RT-1, page 8, line 31 and page 10,
17		line 31.
18		
19		C. The Transition Charge Variable Component
20	Q.	The Variable Component of the Transition Charge recovers what part of FG&E's
21		transition cost?

1	A.	The Variable Component of FG&E's Transition Charge includes the above-market
2		cost of long term purchase power, economic buyout payments, nuclear entitlement
3		and decommissioning-related costs, and certain transmission wheeling and
4		support charges associated with FG&E's entitlements in generation assets, as well
5		as other generation-related costs and expenses. The calculation of the Transition
6		Charge Variable Component is presented in summary fashion in Schedule RT-1,
7		page 3. This calculation is further supported by several of the underlying standard
8		format Transition Charge schedules provided in Schedule RT-1, as well as by the
9		Above Market Payments to Power Suppliers calculation shown in Schedule RT-2
10		and the Monthly Transition Cost Reconciliation detail shown in Schedule RT-3.
11		
12	Q.	What is the primary stranded cost that comprises the Transition Charge Variable
13		Component?
14	A.	The above-market cost associated with FG&E's long-term power purchase
15		agreements constitutes the largest cost in proportion to the total Variable Cost in
16		the Transition Charge for the period prior to the divestiture of FG&E's power
17		supply portfolio to Select Energy (March 1, 1998 - February 1, 2000). See
18		Schedule RT-1, page 15. Following the divestiture to Select Energy in
19		February 1, 2000, the greatest cost items that remain in FG&E's Transition Charge
20		Variable Component are the economic buyout payments ("retained entitlement
21		obligation") FG&E pays to Select Energy under the terms of the buyout

1		agreement. These costs are shown on Schedule RT-1, page 11 (col. 6) and
2		detailed on Schedule RT-2.
3		
4	Q.	Are there changes to the calculation of the Variable Component of FG&E's
5		Transition Charge as a result of D.T.E. 99-110 and the Settlement in
6		D.T.E. 01-103?
7	A.	Yes, there are, and each is reflected in the standard format Annual Transition Cost
8		model (Schedule RT-1) and the Monthly Transition Cost Reconciliation
9		(Schedule RT-3). See the summary discussion of these changes beginning at
10		page 4, line 4, through page 5, line 13, above. The method of calculating the
11		Variable Component of the Transition Charge was conclusively determined as
12		part of the Settlement approved in D.T.E. 01-103.
13		
14		D. Residual Value Credit
15	Q.	Please explain the calculation of the Residual Value Credit in the Transition
16		Charge.
17	A.	FG&E's Restructuring Plan provides for a Residual Value Credit ("RVC") to
18		account for the sale proceeds for the divestiture of its generating units as an offset
19		to the Transition Charge Fixed Component.
20		

Does FG&E's filing present the Transition Charge RVC as it has the other
components of the Transition Charge to accord with the Department's directives?

A. Yes. The calculation of the Transition Charge RVC is performed at Schedule
RT-1, pages 7 and 8. The method of calculation and the level of the RVC were
conclusively determined as part of the Settlement approved in D.T.E. 01-103.

V. CONCLUSION

Does this conclude your testimony?

9 A.

Yes.

Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company
Electric Reconciliation Mechanism and Inflation Adjustment Filing
D.T.E. 03-115
Exh. FGE-RT-1 Appendix A
Page 1 of 2

# RESUME OF ROBYN A. TAFOYA

#### HIGHLIGHTS

- ♦ Professional achievements in finance include developing strategic plans, evaluating business plans, creating an investor relations department, and coordinating the development of corporate capital and operating budgets.
- ♦ MBA from the Anderson School at UCLA, Executive MBA Program 1999 2001.

## PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

#### **BUSINESS CONSULTANT: 5/02 – 11/02**

Provided regulatory consulting services through Robert Half Management Resources to Unitil Corporation, a \$188 million (revenues) electric and natural gas utility company serving communities in New Hampshire and Massachusetts, which allowed Unitil to successfully complete simultaneous general rate and restructuring cases in New Hampshire and Massachusetts for all utility divisions.

#### **BUSINESS CONSULTANT: 8/00 – 8/01**

Independent consultant providing business process reviews for the CEO of Cybereps, a \$15 million national online advertising sales and marketing organization. Opportunity allowed me to observe some of the operational, financial and strategic challenges faced by small firms (50 employees or less).

## STRATEGY CONSULTANT: 8/99 - 1/01

Independent strategy consultant for Sierra Pacific Resources' electric utility industry restructuring group concerning deregulation and cost recovery for stranded investments including purchased power contracts and power plants.

# **DIRECTOR, BUSINESS PLANNING: 7/97 - 8/99**

Key member of Nevada Power Company's (NPC) director-level team that formulated strategies, lobbied legislators and provided expert witness testimony to deregulate electricity markets in Nevada. Coordinated preparation of the rate case that introduced new customer pricing plans under deregulation. Conducted corporate valuation studies and performed industry research. Reported to the CEO and senior management.

#### DIRECTOR, FINANCIAL PLANNING AND BUDGETS: 4/95 - 7/97

Managed the development of a \$160 million corporate operating budget and \$200 million capital budget for NPC which required extensive knowledge of GAAP and regulatory accounting; presented budgets to the Board of Directors. Developed a company-specific budgeting system designed to meet the needs of the fastest growing utility company in the USA. Managed preparation of corporate financial analyses and provided expert witness testimony to state regulators concerning long-range financial forecasts. Selected, trained and evaluated a staff of 11 people. Reported to the CFO.

Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company
Electric Reconciliation Mechanism and Inflation Adjustment Filing
D.T.E. 03-115
Exh. FGE-RT-1 Appendix A
Page 2 of 2

## MANAGER, STRATEGIC & BUSINESS PLANNING: 1/94 - 4/95

Developed corporate strategic plans, corporate financial forecasts and analyses for NPC. At the request of the CEO and COO, I organized quarterly officers' workshops to discuss strategic developments incorporating input from the officers and various outside consultants, supervised preparation of supporting studies and presented information to officers. One such study analyzed the impact of changing dividend policy and utilizing alternative sources of capital funding. Selected, trained and evaluated a staff of 7 people. Reported to CFO.

# Manager, Investor relations: 4/90 - 1/94

Created the investor relations program for NPC. Managed all communications with securities analysts (debt and equity), rating agencies, brokerage firms, institutional and individual investors. Prepared presentations given by CEO, COO, CFO and officers to the Wall Street financial community, and an integral member of the team of people who wrote the quarterly and annual reports to shareholders. Supervised in-house shareholder services staff. Selected trained and evaluated staff of up to 6 people. Reported to CFO.

# **EDUCATION**

MBA: The Anderson School at UCLA, Executive MBA Program – 1999-2001

Highlights included two weeks in Portugal investigating European industrial and manufacturing organizations, and five days in Singapore interviewing international researchers, manufacturers and consumers concerning the market potential for wireless internet products. Capstone projects in marketing were sponsored by Sun Microsystems and presented to Sun's senior management in the United States and Europe.

BA ECONOMICS: Pacific Lutheran University, Tacoma, WA

Note: Professional and educational references will be located under my maiden name, Robyn A. Warsinske.